A PATTERN TO QUAIL IRRUPTIONS IN THE ROLLING PLAINS OF TEXAS

DALE ROLLINS, Texas Agricultural Experiment Station, Department of Wildlife and Fisheries Sciences, Texas A&M University, San Angelo.

Abstract: Bobwhite populations along the western periphery of their range are characterized by irruptions ("boom-bust" population changes). While biologists agree that weather (i.e., precipitation) is involved, the causal mechanism(s) is (are) unclear. I discuss Jackson's (1964) observations about population irruptions in this region and elaborate on how such habitat changes may interact with predation as an explanation. The interaction of drought, grazing practices, rainfall, broomweed and predators can provide a logical explanation of quail irruptions in this area.

Bobwhites exhibit irruptive ("boom and bust") population growth over most of their semiarid ranges in Texas (e.g., Rolling Plains) (Figure 1). Such erratic population swings have been the focus of many studies in an effort to determine the causal agent(s). Recently, Bridges (1999) used various drought indices (e.g., Palmer Modified Drought Index) to explain up to 90% of the annual variation in bobwhite numbers for South Texas. But biologists cannot agree on the causal mechanism, i.e., how does drought affect reproduction and/or survival in order to produce such pronounced population variations in successive years?

Biologists have evaluated several theories of how rainfall influences quail abundance (e.g., Campell et al. 1973, Giuliano and Lutz 1993). Such theories have addressed (1) nutrients in the diet (vitamin A [Lehman 1953] and phosphorus [Cain et al. 1982]), (2) hormonal influences via phytoestrogens (Cain et al. 1987) or non-specific stressors (Radomski 1997), (3) breeding physiology (Koerth and Guthery 1991), or (4) more indirect effects through habitat change (Schemnitz 1993) or some aspect thereof (e.g., insect availability [Roseberry and Klimstra 1984:112]).

Jackson (1962) characterized bobwhite irruptions in the lower Rolling Plains of Texas as an interaction among drought, livestock grazing practices, plant succession and periodic episodes of heavy rains. His explanation of the situation may be described as a 5-step process.

1. A drought of several years, coupled with livestock overgrazing, depletes much of the habitat, hence most of the bobwhite population. The relict population of bobwhites survives in what I refer to as "honeyholes", i.e., those "source" habitats that provide relatively drought-resistant habitats. These bobwhites

"are in a sense selected stock and to a degree adapted to a lack of cover" (Jackson 1962). I refer to them as "Yogi Quail" (i.e., they're smarter than you're average quail) and suggest that they are disproportionately adult birds.

- 2. A year of average rainfall promotes secondary succession on the bared soils, resulting in expanses of annual forbs (e.g., doveweed, buffalobur) useful to quail. The habitat is "functional [but] unstable." The nutritional situation is good and the predator population has lagged during the dry years. Bobwhites undergo a "lateral" increase and occupy sites across the landscape.
- 3. A year of excessive rainfall breaks the drought. The landscape is now covered with a dense canopy of common broomweed which provides excellent winter ground cover yet is open at quail-level for easy travel. "Now the range is all bobwhite habitat as regards cover" (Jackson 1962). The quail increase is rapid (a "vertical" increase).
- 4. A year of normal rainfall follows with good moisture carryover from the previous year. The bobwhite population explodes and occupies all marginal habitats (even roadsides). Meanwhile plant succession has advanced to a stage less desirable to bobwhites (e.g., mostly grasses) and the quail population is left "out on a limb" and probably competing for food with an irruptive rodent population.
- 5. The bobwhite population crashes if food or cover fails before spring. Dry years set in and continue. Conditions revert again to phase 1.

Now for the lateral increase. Improved conditions allow the survivors to "recolonize" more marginal habitats, but nesting success is generally low because of a lack of suitable nesting cover (either perennial bunchgrasses or prickly pear). Perhaps bobwhites that select for prickly pear as a nesting habitat fare better than those in the recovering bunchgrasses (e.g., Slater 1996). Perhaps nesting conditions improve during the latter half of the summer (as nesting cover improves) and an August hatch yields an "average" quail year. The vertical increase is promoted by a year of dense broomweed.

transforms all the range into "usable space" (Guthery 1997). The broomweed, more than any other plant as far as I'm concerned, "predator proofs" the winter quail population, resulting in higher carryover of breeding birds. Broomweed seeds, albeit small, offer a high energy diet that helps increase winter survival. At least some (perhaps many) of the breeding quail produce multiple broods. promote 2 successive broomweed years, the quail population booms.

increases as I weave the role of predators into the

equation.

During the second year of the broomweed, grasses are increasing, as are rodent populations (e.g., cotton rats). The blanket of cover is tenuous now, imperiled by either rodents or livestock (both of whose numbers have likely built over the last year).

populations become more vulnerable to a host of predators, including hunters. If it stays dry, the next year's nesting habitat is more depleted, and more fragmented, thus allowing predators to be more efficient in their searches. It is likely that the predator populations have increased, lagging some time behind their heretofore abundant prey. Sometimes a rabies or distemper

outbreak helps curb their numbers, or in previous years a fur market might take its toll. But the predators (e.g., striped skunks, raccoons) in the Rolling Plains are adaptable generalists. Perhaps their populations don't decline as the prey base does; perhaps they switch to buffer items like prickly pear tunas, peanut hay, deer supplements or scavenge carcasses along the

roadside.

Supposedly, predator populations, acting either singly or collectively, don't depress bobwhite numbers. However, predators have their greatest depressing

The carpet of broomweed

If weather conditions

Caveat emptor; the speculation on my part

occurred several times since then, none have approached 1967 levels. The 1987 irruption is the one that most hunters of "my" era use as a benchmark. This irruption conforms very well to Jackson's "model." conditions prevailed from 1983-84, and the range conditions were deplorable. Accentuating the dilemma for quail was the bitter cold winter of 1983-84. Bobwhites were (apparently) absent from many of my favorite haunts in southwestern Oklahoma (Harmon County). I estimate that bobwhites made up less than 20% of the quail population during the 1984-85

Jackson used bobwhite population irruptions in

1942 and 1958 as the basis of his observations. His

data were based largely on hunting preserve records on

number of quail harvested. It is not possible to

compare abundance bobwhite population indices available today, i.e., Breeding Bird Survey data (Sauer

et al. 1997), with the relative abundance levels observed by Jackson (1962). Breeding Bird Survey

data suggest the largest bobwhite irruption in the

Rolling Red Plains (generally synonymous with Jackson's Lower Rolling Plains) from the period 1966-96 occurred in 1967 (Figure 1). While irruptions have

broomweed stand in 1986. The lateral increase occurred. More rains fell in 1986 and resulted in a bumper broomweed year in 1987. The vertical increase occurred and a banner quail year was the result. A review of Jackson's "model"

hunting season; scaled quail comprised the remainder. Rains fell in the fall of 1984 and resulted in a "good"

Now, let's dissect Jackson's observations and see

what new or different connotations might be placed on how quail responded to these environmental stimuli.

Some of the following comments can be substantiated, others are conjecture on my part. Let's start with the drought year. Quail

populations are low and intuitively represent a corps of quail who have experienced "survival of the fittest." These survivors possess greater adaptive skills than their deceased cohorts, either in the presence of natural enemies or quail hunters. Hunters bemoan that quail are notorious runners, flush wild and are otherwise more elusive than normal. I submit that, when

flushed, such quail are "low" flyers, atypically hugging

harassment from accipiters (e.g., Cooper's hawk). The

In my opinion, such behavior reflects

situations have selected for "Yogi quail."

at in several regions of Texas (e.g., Edwards Plateau, Cross Timbers). Ode to broomweed I submit that the most visible herald of a banner

item during such years, I suspect broomweed's major

effect when predator populations are high relative to

their prey, or what is sometimes referred to as the

"predator pit" (Krebs 1996). And that's where we're

quail year in the Rolling Plains is a "broomweed" year. And, while the broomweed seeds can be a major diet

contribution to quail is by making virtually all the range landscape "usable space" (Guthery 1997). Guthery argues that quail populations are increased by increasing the quantity of habitat, not the quality. A dense canopy of broomweed probably provides a measure of predator-proofing for bobwhites that is unavailable during other phases of Jackson's model. Several studies (Roseberry and Klimstra 1984, Giuliano and Lutz 1993) suggested that the best predictor of bobwhite abundance is the previous year's This suggests that some quail management dogma (e.g., "you can't stockpile quail") is malarkey. Broomweed probably helps increase overwinter survival thereby increasing density of birds

available for the breeding season.

survival of breeding birds, coupled with higher nesting success, may provide the mechanism to put the "boom" back into quail crops. Oddly enough, it may be the droughts for which we should be thankful, for they may be as important to the boom-bust phenomena as the "wet" years. The drought "cocks the hammer" for quail booms (i.e., bares the soil) and the rain pulls the trigger. LITERATURE CITED

In summary, I propose that the ultimate effect of

rainfall for quail may simply be an increase in herbaceous cover that provides a strategic advantage to

the prey, be they cotton rats or bobwhites. Better

Bridges, A.S. 1999. Abundance of northern bobwhite University, College Station. Cain, J.R., S.L. Beasom, L.O. Rowland, and L.D.

and scaled quail in Texas: influence of weather and landscape-cover change. Thesis, Texas A&M

Rowe. 1982. The effects of varying dietary phosphorus on breeding bobwhites. Journal of

Guthery, F.S.

management for northern bobwhites. Journal of Wildlife Management 61:291-301. Jackson, A.S.

and Parks, Pratt.

55:132-137.

Krebs, ,C.J.

1962. A pattern to population oscillations of the bobwhite quail in the lower

Cain, J.R., R.J. Lien, and S.L. Beasom.

Mexico. Wildlife Monograph 34.

1997.

51:198-201.

Phytoestrogen effects on reproductive performance

of scaled quail. Journal of Wildlife Management

Campbell, H., D.K. Martin, P.E. Ferkovich and B.K. Harris. 1973. Effects of hunting and some other

Giuliano, W.M., and R.S. Lutz. 1992. Quail and rain:

environmental factors on scaled quail in New

what's the relationship? Pages 64-68 in K.E.

Church and T.V. Dailey, eds. Quail III: national

quail symposium. Kansas Department of Wildlife

1987.

Water

bobwhite

plains grazing ranges of northwest Texas. Proceedings Southeastern Association Game and Fish Commissioners 16:120-126. Koerth, N.E., and F.S. Guthery.

A philosophy of habitat

1991. restriction effects on northern reproduction. Journal of Wildlife Management

1996. Population cycles revisited. Journal of Mammalogy 77:8-24. Lehman, V.W. 1953. Bobwhite populations and Transactions North American Vitamin A. Wildlife Conference 18:199-246.

Roseberry, J.L., and W.D. Klimstra. 1984. Population Southern Illinois ecology of the bobwhite. University Press, Carbondale.

Sauer, J.R., J.E. Hines, G. Gough, I. Thomas and B.G. Peterjohn. 1997. The North American Breeding Bird Survey Results and Analysis, Version 96.2. Patuxent Wildlife Research Center, Laurel, MD.

Schemnitz, S.D. 1993. Scaled quail habitats revisited - Oklahoma panhandle. Pages 143-147 in K.E. Church and T. V. Dailey, eds., Quail III: national quail symposium. Kansas Dep. Wildl. and Parks, Pratt.

Preserving Texas' Quail Heritage

Wildlife Management 46:1061-1065.

Slater, S.C. 1996. An evaluation of prickly pear (Opuntia spp.) as a predator deterrent in nest site selection by northern bobwhite (Colinus virginianus). M.S. Thesis. Angelo State University, San Angelo, Texas.